

Engine Systems, Control & Integration

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

Engine Design Overview

- Propellant Budget
- Engine Controls
- Engine System Calibration
- System Integration
- Engine Optimization
- Performance of Rocket Propulsion Systems
- Timeline







Sum of total propellant usage and losses in an engine

TABLE 11-1. Example of a Propellant Budget for a Spacecraft Propulsion System with a Pressurized Monopropellant Feed System

 Budget Element

 1. Main thrust chamber (increasing the velocity of stage or vehicle)
 85–9

 2. Flight control function (for reaction control thrusters and flight stability)
 5–10

- Residual propellant (trapped in valves, lines, tanks, etc.)
- 4. Loading uncertainty
- 5. Allowance for off-nominal performance
- 6. Allowance for off-nominal operations
- Mission margin (reserve for first two items above)
- 8. Contingency

85-95% (determined from mission analysis and system engineering)

Typical Value

- 5-10% (determined by control requirements) 0.5-2% of total load
- 0.5% of total load 0.5-1.0% of total load 0.25-1.0% of total load 3-5% of items 1 and 2
- 1-5% of total load

OPropellant to complete mission Ogas Generator Cycle **O**Thrust Vectoring Control **OHeating of Cryogenic Propellant Tanks** In Flight Maneuvers **O**Residual Propellant OLoading Uncertainty Off-nominal Rocket Performance Operational Factors • Evaporation and/or Cooling due to Cryogenic Propellant Overall Contingency



- Propellant to complete mission
- Gas Generator Cycle
- Thrust Vectoring Control
- Heating of Cryogenic Propellant Tanks
- In Flight Maneuvers
- Residual Propellant
- Loading Uncertainty
- Off-nominal Rocket Performance
- Operational Factors
- Evaporation and/or Cooling due to Cryogenic Propellant
- Overall Contingency

O Propellant to complete mission

•Typically 85-95% of Total Propellant

•Will be calculated after completion of testing

Altered By:
 Barometric Pressure
 Wind Conditions



Propellant BudgetO Residual Propellant

Unused Propellant at the End of the Burn

•Typically .5-2% of Total Propellant

Alters:
 Final Mass
 Velocity

Will Find Accurate Data During Testing

Loading Uncertainty

Variation in propellant density or liquid level in tank

•Typically .25-.75% of total propellant

Alters:
 Flow of Propellant
 Duration of Propellant Flow
 Thrust Numbers

•Vacuum Casting of Solid will Lessen Variation



Propellant Budget
Off-nominal Rocket Performance

Manufacturing Discrepancies

•Typically 0-2% of Total Propellant

Alters:
 Regression Rate
 Flow Rates
 Thrust Values

Using the Same Rocket Parts for Every Launch



Propellant BudgetOperational Factors

•How accurate valves, flow, tubing is

Typically .1-1% of Total Propellant

Alters:
 Everything

Purchase High Quality Components



Propellant BudgetOverall Contingency

•Extra Fuel to account for unaccountable data

Typically 1-5% of Total Fuel

 After testing and data collection, add or subtract some fuel to achieve desired flight

Propellant BudgetImportant Take-Away:

 There are many things to consider about your rocket fuel consumption before finalizing your fuel amount





- Prior to starting:
 - •Check that systems work
 - •Fill the tanks
 - •Bleed liquid lines
 - Pressurize tanks



Starting-Preliminary Operation:
 Provide start electric signal
 Start ignition system
 Open valves
 Double check the systems





Washington State University Hybrid Rocket Team

• Starting-Transition to full thrust: Allow propellant to increase to full-rated values •Be sure that principal valves fully open Activate systems for thrust control



Soyuz



- Stopping:
 - •Signal to stop
 - •Key valves close in sequence



- Benefits of electronic control systems:
 - •Lighter
 - Cheaper
 - Easier
 - More accurate
 - Feedback for learning

• Our control system needs to be:

- Shockproof
- Heat resistant
- •Flame retardant
- Moisture resistant





- Corrects engine system for nominal performance
- Testing: actual engine v. ideal engine
 - •i.e. hydraulic/pneumatics (valves, pipes, etc.)
 - •hot fired components (thrust chamber, turbines, etc.)
 - •cryogenic propellants (pumps, valves, etc.)
 - Outomated or Manual
- Pressure balance the system
- Health Monitoring System (HMI)



Pressure balance the system

- P_{engine} = P_{drop} + P_{chamber}
 Intended flow and mixture ratio
- Orifice plate
- Example 11-2
 - Actual v. intended chamber conditions
 - Deviations in mixture ratio, <u>thrust</u> and specific impulse.
 - •Tank pressure
 - Orifice dimensions



Washington State University Hybrid Rocket Team



http://www.nasa.gov/images/content/453915main _2010-3355_full.jpg Health Monitoring System

- 1. Monitor behaviour
 - a. analyzes actual v. intended
 - b. Outputs calibrations
- 2. Anticipates failure
 - a. protects equipment
- 3. Lift-Off monitors
 - a. booster engines and launch vehicle
 - b. predicts "health"
 - c. (dis)allows launch.

System Integration and Engine Optimization



D.K. Huzel Modern Engineering Design of Liquid Propellant Rocket Engines Vol.147 of Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics, AIAA, Reston,



Washington State University Hybrid Rocket Team

Engine Optimization Optimization Studies Thrust Chamber Pressure Mixture ratios Nozzle area ratio Chamber to throat area ratio •Engine Volume

Ovehicle Parameters

- Payload
- O Vehicle Velocity Increment
- Range
- O Propellant Mass Fraction

System Integration

Optimization Parameters

- Performance
- Reliability
- Cost
- Limitations
 - Heat emissions
 - Noise
 - Vibrations
- Interfaces
 - Connections
 - Wires
 - Pipelines

Performance of Rocket Systems

Performance Characteristics
 Whole is equal to sum of parts

$$(I_s)_{\text{oa}} = \frac{\sum F}{\sum \dot{w}} = \frac{\sum F}{g_0 \sum \dot{m}}$$
(11-1)

$$\dot{w}_{oa} = \sum \dot{w}$$
 or $\dot{m}_{oa} = \sum \dot{m}$ (11–2)

$$r_{\rm oa} \approx \frac{\sum \dot{w}_o}{\sum \dot{w}_f} = \frac{\sum \dot{m}_o}{\sum \dot{m}_f} \tag{11-3}$$

Sutton, George Paul., and Oscar Biblarz. Rocket Propulsion Elements. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2001. Pages 402

Washington State University Hybrid Rocket Team

Performance of Rocket Systems

Preliminary Data from RPA with HTPB/Paraffin Sim.

Inputs

Fuel: HTPB 70% Paraffin 30%
Oxidizer: Nitrous Oxide
Chamber Pressure 550
Pressure at 5000 ft = 84.3 kPa
Conical Nozzle with Half Angle of 20 degrees

Outputs

Thrust Coefficient: 1.526
Burn Time: 10 sec
Mixing Ratio: 7.739
Specific Impulse: 245 s



Engine Design Conclusion

O Preliminary Design

- ●3.75 inch fuel grain ○Fuel TBD
- •Oxidizer: N2O •Available from Chem
 - Stores
- Oxidizer Tank

 Create from 6061
 Aluminum Tube Stock
 4" Diameter, .125" Thick
 Bulk Heads Machined
 from 6061 Aluminum

 Combustion Chamber

 6061 Al Stock or
 3D Printed from Aerojet

- Injector
 - Shower Head Design
- Nozzle
 - 3D Printed from Aerojet
 - Graphite Machined
- Valves
 - TBD
- Electronics
 - TBD

Engine Design Conclusion

To Do

- Propellant Budget
- Finalize EES Code
- Create Simulations on all propellant options
- Finalize Engine Design
- Finalize Test Stand Design
- Finalize Test Plan
 Procedures

- Safety Tests and Approval
- Procure Propellant Casting Chemicals and Equipment
- O Cast Propellants
- Test Propellants
- Order Components
- Machine Components

... and then BUILD!

Timeline

Hybrid Rocket

Period Highlight: 1 +

ACTIVITY	PLAN START	PLAN DURATION	ACTUAL START	ACTUAL DURATION	PERCENT	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	3 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	April 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	47 48 49 50 51 52	53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60
Finalize Test Design	1	5	0	0	0%							
Finalize Test Plan	5	12	0	0	0%							
Order Propellant Equipment	1	3	0	0	0%							
Finalize EES Code	2	12	0	0	0%							
Budget Propellant	1	5	0	0	0%							
Create Simulations on all propella	5	20	0	0	0%							
Finalize Engine Design	1	11		0	0%							
Safety Tests and Approval	23	30	0	0	0%							
Cast Propellants	23	30	0	0	0%							
Test Propellants	32	39	0	0	0%							
Order Components	23	30	0	0	0%							
Machine Components	23	30	0	0	0%							
BUILD	32	60	0	0	0%							

